

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,124	12/28/2001	Paul Bourgine	1394-01	. 4810
	7590 07/02/2007 DLA PIPER US LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ADDY, THJUAN KNOWLIN	
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2614	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/046,124	BOURGINE, PAUL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thjuan K. Addy	2614		

	Thjuan K. Addy	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 June 2007</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the				
AMENDMENTS			, ,				
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		ected claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·		•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .	•						
Claim(s) rejected to <u>None.</u> Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-16.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N  Indicate the date of filin	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See attachment.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
3.	•						
		Patent Examiner: 7					

Application/Control Number: 10/046,124

Art Unit: 2614

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/04/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Gross and Holt, both fail to disclose the limitations of

storing time-related communication parameters at each failed or successful attempt to establish a communication channel, correlating at least one time-related parameter with

the failed/successful attempt, and determining a new order of searching through the real

addresses according to the correlation. However, in regards to the above limitations,

Examiner will not address these limitations, due to the fact that they were added to the

claims after the Final Rejection, therefore, requiring further consideration and/or search

by the Examiner.

AHMAD F. MATAR

Page 2

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700**